EXHIBIT "K"

ORIGINAL

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GARY B. FREEDMAN, : NO.

ESQUIRE, Administrator: 2:13-cv-3145-CDJ

of the ESTATE OF

ABRAHAM STRIMBER,

deceased

and

BRACHA STRIMBER,

Plaintiffs, :

ΰ.

STEVEN FISHER, M.D., et al.,

Defendants. :

Thursday, September 25, 2014

Videotape deposition of MICHAEL E. CHANSKY, M.D., taken pursuant to notice, was held at the law offices of Christie Pabarue and Young, 1880 JFK Boulevard, 10th Floor, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, commencing at 2:00 p.m., on the above date, before Amy M. Murphy, a Professional Court Reporter and Notary Public there being present.

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES (866) 624-6221 www.MagnalS.com

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES



<u> </u>	Page 38			Page	40
1	Emergency Medicine Transfer and Labor Act	1	Q. So those criteria are all		
2	no longer applies.	2	met as far as EMTALA goes, correct?		
3	So if Dr. Fisher had	3	A. Yes.		
$\frac{3}{4}$	admitted the patient to Abington Memorial	4	Q. So the hospital had an		
5	Hospital and Dr. Turner was now taking	5	obligation to provide a medical screening		
6	over and providing an independent	6	exam to determine whether or not an		
7	evaluation, she no longer is, in that	7	emergency medical condition existed,		
8	circumstance, held to EMTALA, I believe.	8	correct?		
9	Q. So I want to kind of	9	A. They did.		
10	summarize that and tell me if I got it	10	Q. And it's your testimony that		
11	correct or not.	11	an emergency medical examination was		
12	So Dr. Turner, it's your	12	performed by Dr. Fisher, correct?		
13	opinion and understanding that Dr. Turner	13	A. Yes, by Dr. Fisher and his		
14	did not perform an EMTALA medical	14	ancillary staff, yes.		
15	screening examination because of at the	15	Q. And no conclusion was ever		
16	point she saw the patient, EMTALA no	16	reached as to whether or not the patient		
17	longer applied?	17	had an emergency medical condition?		
18	A. Yes. Assuming that an	18	A. Yes.		
19	admission order had been placed and	19	Q. But a decision was made to		
20	Mr. Strimber was no longer an Abington	20	admit the patient to the hospital; that's		
21	Memorial Hospital emergency department	21	your understanding, correct?		
22	patient, he was now an Abington Memorial	22	A. Yes.		
23	Hospital inpatient.	23	Q. And when that occurred,		
24	Q. So do we agree that in	24	EMTALA no longer applied?		
	MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES		MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES		
	Page 39			Page	41
1	Mr. Strimber's care at Abington Memorial	1	A. I believe that's the law,		
2	Hospital, the medical screening	2	but I'm not an attorney, obviously.		
3	examination was performed by Dr. Fisher?	3	Q. I thought and maybe I'm		
4	A. Yes.	4	wrong, and maybe your understanding is		
5	Q. Did Dr. Fisher reach a	5	different, that's why I'm asking you		
6	conclusion from his medical screening	6	that one of the obligations of the		
7	examination as to whether or not the	7	medical screening examination was to		
8	patient, Mr. Strimber, had an emergency	8	reach a conclusion, a yes-or-no		
9	medical condition?	9	conclusion, as to whether an emergency		
10	A. He did not definitively.	10	medical condition was present. Is that		
11	Q. So is it your testimony that	11	not your understanding?		
12	Mr. Strimber presented to the emergency	12	A. Yes.		
13	department at Abington Memorial Hospital,	13	Q. Yes, it's not your		
14	correct?	14	understanding?		
15	A. Yes.	15	A. Yes.		
16	Q. With a request for an	16	Q. Okay. Do you agree that all		
17	examination, correct?	17	emergency departments should have a		
18	A. Yes.	18	standardized process to ensure that		
19	Q. Do you have an understanding	19	patients presenting for medical care		
20	as to whether the emergency department at	20	receive an appropriate medical screening		
21	Abington Memorial Hospital is a qualified	21	examination?		
22	emergency department as part of the	22	MR. YOUNG: Objection to		
22 23	Medicare program?	23	form. You can answer it.		
22	 				

	Page 74			Page	76
1	Q. And is it also your	1	on his initial evaluation. He did		
2	understanding that Dr. Fisher never	2	consider conditions that would need		
3	arrived at such a conclusion because he	3	stabilization such as an acute myocardial		
4	never completed his medical screening	4	infarction and abdominal aortic aneurism,		
5	examination, correct?	5	renal colic. I believe he considered		
6	MR. CAMHI: Object to the	6	some other diagnoses.		
7	form of the question.	7	However, through his		
8	MR. YOUNG: I object to the	8	appropriate evaluation, history,		
9	form of the question as well when	9	physical, and the tests he ordered, the		
10	you say he never completed it.	10	imaging studies, he did not have a clear		
11	THE WITNESS: No. I don't	11	explanation for the patient's epigastric		
12	agree with the statement.	12	pain. Therefore, he recommended further		
13	BY MR. AUSSPRUNG:	13	evaluation in the hospital. He did not		
14	Q. Did Dr. Fisher complete his	14	discharge the patient from the hospital		
15	medical screening examination?	15	without finishing his screening exam.		
16	A. Dr. Fisher completed his	16	Q. I think you said that based		
17	evaluation to the point of where he felt	17	on the imaging and tests that Dr. Fisher		
18	the epigastric pain, and distension, and	18	did was part of your answer, correct?		
19	bloating, and diarrhea, and needed to be	19	A. Correct.		
20	further evaluated.	20	Q. Were the imaging and tests		
21	Q. Thank you, but my question	21	that Dr. Fisher did part of the medical		
22	was, based upon how a medical screening	22	screening examination?		
23	exam is defined within the law of EMTALA,	23	A. Yes.		
24	did Dr. Fisher complete his medical	24	Q. Okay. You don't say that in		
	MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES		MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES		
	Page 75			Page	77
1	screening examination?	1	your report, do you?		
2	A. He did, because his	2	A. They're part of his		
3	conclusion was that the patient needed to	3	evaluation,		
4	be admitted to the hospital for further	4	Q. Well, no. You say, there is		
5	testing and evaluation.	5	no medical screening evaluations for		
6	Q. So am I correct that it's	6	patients with chest pain beyond a		
7	your opinion and testimony that Dr.	7	thorough history and examination by the		
8	Fisher completed his medical screening	8	emergency room physician. You don't say	r	
9	examination but did not reach a	9	anything about imaging studies or		
10	conclusion as to whether an emergency	10	laboratory tests as being part of the		
11	medical condition existed?	11	medical screening evaluation, do you?		
12	A. Yes.	12	MR. YOUNG: Let me just make		
13	Q. Well, if he didn't reach a	13	this objection. I think that what		
14	conclusion as to whether or not an	14	you read from, which is part of		
15	emergency medical exam sorry. Strike	15	the second report, was not said		
16	that. Let me try it again.	16	specifically with regard to Dr.		
17	If Dr. Fisher did not make a	17	Fisher, at least I didn't read it		
18	conclusion or reach a conclusion as to	18	that way, but we can certainly		
19	whether an emergency medical condition	19	inquire. All I'm saying is I		
20	existed, how could his screening	20	think you may have just inserted		
21	examination be done?	21	some apples next to the oranges in		
22	A. It was done on the basis of	22	terms of that particular		
23	his history and physical, a decision that	23	reference. And I object to it,		
24	further testing needed to be done based	24	but certainly the doctor can		
l .	MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES		MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES		



	Page 8	2	Page 8	84
1	no. The information of new	1	regarding abdominal pain, but I know	
2	information and obviously, this	2	there was none for chest pain.	
3	is a strong hypothetical, because	3	Q. You haven't seen a policy	
4	the triage nurse, Dr. Fisher,	4	for abdominal pain, correct?	
5	Dr. Turner, Mrs. Strimber,	5	A. I have not.	
6	Mr. Strimber never complained of	6	Q. Under EMTALA, when a	
7	chest pain. So it's a complete	7	hospital does not have a written policy	
8	hypothetical.	8	or procedure concerning a medical	
9	Had Mr. Strimber complained	9	screening exam for a particular category	
10	of chest pain, hypothetically, to	10	of patients, how is it determined if an	
11	the providers, then a further	11	appropriate medical screening examination	
12	history pertinent to the chest	12	occurred?	
13	pain would have been elucidated,	13	A. It is determined that if a	
14	what were you doing when it came	14	qualified provider evaluates the patient,	
15	on, what's it associated with,	15	which, as we said before in this case,	
16	does it radiate, what makes it	16	was met by an emergency physician, and	
17	better, what makes it worse.	17	performs a history and physical with the	
18	And then based on those	18	intent to stabilize the patient and rule	
19	questions, a chest x-ray may be	19	out an emergency medical condition.	
20	part of the medical screening exam	20	Q. So, Doctor, do you are	
21	and it may not, but it is	21	you of the opinion that Mr. Strimber does	
22	certainly not required.	22	not have to receive a uniform medical	
23	BY MR. AUSSPRUNG:	23	screening exam based upon other patients	
24	Q. And one of the reasons that	24	who presented to the emergency department	
	MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES		MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES	
	Page 8	3	Page 8	85
] 1	you gave me to say that a chest x-ray is	1	at Abington Memorial Hospital with	
2	not required as part of the medical	2	similar symptoms?	
3	screening exam was that Abington Memorial	3	MR. YOUNG: Could you say	
4	Hospital has no policy or protocol	4	that again? I just missed it.	
5	applicable to patients presenting with	5		
6	Mr. Strimber's symptoms?	6	(Whereupon, the pertinent	
7	MR. YOUNG: Objection to the	7	portion of the record was read.)	J
8	form. I don't think that's what	8	TO VIOLUITO OLL III II II II	
9	he said.	9	MR. YOUNG: Objection to the	
10	BY MR. AUSSPRUNG:	10	form of the question. I just	
11	Q. Let me ask it a different	11	don't think it's clear as you've	
12	way. Let me cure the objection.	12	posed it. But you can respond to	
13 14	Do we agree that Abington	13 14	it, if you understand. THE WITNESS: I am of the	
15	Memorial Hospital had no written policy	15		
16	or procedure concerning the medical screening examinations for patients	16	opinion I am of the opinion that let me make sure I'm	
17	presenting with chest pain?	17	answering this question right.	
18	A. Yes.	18	I'm of the opinion that	
19	Q. Do we agree, same question,	19	Mr. Strimber's medical screening	
20	for patients presenting with abdominal	20	evaluation is tailored to him in	
21	pain?	21	that you can look at 200 or 300	1
22	A. I've not looked through all	22	other patients, and every one of	ļ
23	of their policies and procedures. I	23	those other patients has an	
. ~~		1		ı
24	don't know if they have a policy	24	independent history and physical	1

